Tropical Life Sciences Research, 28(1), 1–21, 2017

Biomass and Habitat Characteristics of Epiphytic Macroalgae in the Sibuti Mangroves, Sarawak, Malaysia

¹Hasmidah Md Isa^{*}, ¹Abu Hena Mustafa Kamal, ²Mohd Hanafi Idris, ³Zamri Rosli and ¹Johan Ismail

¹Department of Animal Science and Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, Nyabau Road, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia

²School of Fisheries and Aquaculture Sciences, University Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

³Borneo Ecosains, Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, Nyabau Road, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia

Published date: 31 January 2017

To cite this article: Hasmidah Md Isa, Abu Hena Mustafa Kamal, Mohd Hanafi Idris, Zamri Rosli and Johan Ismail. (2017). Biomass and Habitat Characteristics of Epiphytic Macroalgae in the Sibuti Mangroves, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 28(1):1–21. https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2017.28.1.1

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2017.28.1.1

Abstrak: Bakau menyokong himpunan kepelbagaian "epibiont" macroalgal atas akar dan batang terapung pokok bakau. Makroalga membekalkan nutrien kepada pengguna utama dalam rantaian makanan akuatik dan telah dilaporkan menjadi penyumbang besar kepada ekosistem marin. Kepelbagaian spesies, biomass, dan ciri-ciri habitat makroalga bakau telah dikaji di tiga stesen bakau di muara Sibuti, Sarawak, Malaysia, dari bulan November 2012 hingga Oktober 2013. Tiga kumpulan makroalga direkodkan dan telah didapati tumbuh pada akar terapung pokok bakau, iaitu Rhodophyta (Caloglossa ogasawaraensis, Caloglossa adhaerens, Caloglossa stipitata, Bostrychia anomala, dan Hypnea sp.), Chlorophyta (Chaetomorpha minima dan Chaetomorpha sp.), dan Phaeophyta (Dictyota sp.). Biomass makroalga tidak dipengaruhi (p>0.05) mengikut musim di habitat hutan bakau. Spesies makroalga iaitu Hypnea sp. menyumbang biomass yang paling tinggi di kedua-dua Stesen 1 (210.56 mg/cm²) dan Stesen 2 (141.72 mg/cm²), manakala biomass tertinggi disumbangkan oleh B. anomala (185.89 mg/cm2) di Stesen 3. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa taburan dan himpunan spesies daripada makroalga bakau dipengaruhi oleh parameter alam sekitar seperti nutrien dari air, pepejal terlarut, dan kemasinan di habitat muara bakau Sibuti, Sarawak.

Kata kunci: Makroalga, Bostrychia anomala, Biomass, Bakau, Sarawak

Abstract: Mangroves support diverse macroalgal assemblages as epibionts on their roots and tree trunks. These algae provide nutrients to the primary consumers in the aquatic food web and have been reported to be substantial contributors to marine ecosystems. The species diversity, biomass, and habitat characteristics of mangrove macroalgae were investigated at three stations in the Sibuti mangrove estuary, Sarawak, Malaysia, from November 2012 to October 2013. Three groups of macroalgae were recorded and were found to be growing on mangrove prop roots, namely Rhodophyta (*Caloglossa*)

^{*}Corresponding author: Asmidar_unic@yahoo.com

[©] Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2017

ogasawaraensis, Caloglossa adhaerens, Caloglossa stipitata, Bostrychia anomala, and Hypnea sp.), Chlorophyta (*Chaetomorpha minima* and *Chaetomorpha* sp.), and Phaeophyta (*Dictyota* sp.). The biomass of macroalgae was not influenced (*p*>0.05) by the season in this mangrove forest habitat. The macroalgal species Hypnea sp. contributed the highest biomass at both Station 1 (210.56 mg/cm²) and Station 2 (141.72 mg/cm²), while the highest biomass was contributed by *B. anomala* (185.89 mg/cm²) at Station 3. This study shows that the species distribution and assemblages of mangrove macroalgae were influenced by environmental parameters such as water nutrients, dissolved solids, and salinity in the estuarine mangrove habitats of Sibuti, Sarawak.

Key words: Macroalgae, Bostrychia anomala, Biomass, Mangrove, Sarawak

INTRODUCTION

Mangrove forests are considered to be one of the most productive coastal intertidal ecosystems in the world (Hoque *et al.* 2015a). In mangrove habitats, litter production is usually the main source of energy and is the indicator of primary productivity, which subsequently contributes to adjacent estuarine ecosystems. Macroalgae associated with mangroves can also contribute similarly to the annual litter production of coastal forests (Rodriguez & Stoner 1990). Mangrove macroalgae is unique and can only be found growing epiphytically on pneumatophores, prop roots, stems, sediment, and other substrates (Zuccarello *et al.* 2001). Generally, this substrate is very important for mangrove macroalgae attachment, and these algae grow differently in different substrates (Aikanathan & Sasekumar 1994).

In coastal mangrove habitats, grazing small marine and estuarine invertebrates are dependent on macroalgae as a food source and for shelter (Aikanathan & Sasekumar 1994; Melville *et al.* 2005). The macroalgae growing within mangroves are important in estuarine ecosystems and supply an additional source of energy for nutrient cycling (Davey & Woelkerling 1985; McCluskey & Elliot 2004) and are an important source of carbon (Rodriquez & Stoner 1990). Studies have found that the distribution, tolerance, and adaptation of mangrove macroalgae are influenced by abiotic and biotic parameters (Oliveira 1984). Environmental parameters such as transparency, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and pH have been considered to be contributing factors that influence the presence or absence of macroalgae in mangrove ecosystems (Fernandes & Alves 2011).

Studies on the biomass and habitat characteristics of mangrove macroalgae are inadequate in Malaysia (Laursen & King 2000; Saifullah & Ahmed 2007). The taxonomy and morphology of mangrove algae have been previously described in Pakistan (Tanaka & Shameel 1992; Saifullah & Taj 1995). Aikanathan and Sasekumar (1994) reported 10 mangrove macroalgae in Malaysian marine waters. It is assumed that in Sarawak, Malaysia, there could be a wide variety of benthic epiphytic macroalgae in the mangrove habitats with ecological potential. Therefore, this study was carried out to assess the macroalgal community structure and habitat characteristics in the Sibuti mangrove forest, Sarawak, Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

This study was carried out in the Sibuti mangrove estuary, Sarawak, Malaysia (Fig. 1). Three stations were established, i.e., Station 1 (03° 25' 00.5" N & 113° 23' 13.5" E), Station 2 (03° 58' 55.4" N & 113° 44' 18.8" E), and Station 3 (03° 59' 28.7" N & 113° 44' 35.3" E). The distance between the stations was approximately 1 km. This site is considered to be an undisturbed mangrove forest dominated by *Rhizophora apiculata*, followed by *Xylocarpus granatum* and *Nypa fruticans*. The forest is regularly inundated by a normal high tide, and inundation occurs up to 34 times per month on average (Saifullah *et al.* 2014). Hence, the forest can be classified under class 3 according to Watson (1928). The estuary is semi-diurnal, and the tidal range varies between 0.2 to 2.0 m during neap and spring tide, respectively (Hoque *et al.* 2015); Kaleem *et al.* 2015).



Figure 1: Map of the study area showing the locations of stations in the Sibuti estuary, Sarawak, Malaysia.

Collection of Macroalgae

Macroalgae were collected randomly from the three stations on a monthly basis for one year from November 2012 to October 2013. The prop roots of R. apiculata were selected for collecting macroalgae, as this was the most common and dominant species in this estuary. Prop roots (20 cm long) covered with macroalgae were randomly cut from the 3 stations. The samples were placed into Ziploc polyethylene bags, which were labelled and transported back to the Ecology and Biology Laboratory (Department of Animal Science and

Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus) for further processing (Melville & Pulkownik 2007). All macroalgae from the pneumatophores were sorted and cleaned and then placed into a drying oven pre-set to 80°C to obtain a constant weight for the measurement of biomass. The biomass was expressed as the algal weight per unit area (mg/cm²) following the procedure described by Saifullah and Ahmed (2007). For identification, the macroalgae were preserved in a 4% formalin solution (Bouzon & Ouriques 1999). Identification was conducted based on the works of Zeng (1983), King and Puttock (1994), Qian *et al.* (2005), and Zhao (2012), and some of the samples were sent to School of Biology Science, Victoria University, New Zealand, to confirm the identifications.

Observation of Ecological Parameters

The ecological parameters of the Sibuti mangrove estuary were recorded monthly at the three stations. The physico-chemical parameters of the estuarine water, i.e., the pH, temperature, salinity, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen were detected in situ using a multi-parameter probe (model WQC-24, Jaya Chemical Supplier, Bintulu, Sarawak). The total rainfall and air temperature at the Sibuti estuary were obtained from the Meteorological Department of Sarawak. To determine the estuarine water nutrient content, water samples (three replicates from each station) were collected from the three stations with pre-cleaned plastic bottles, which were brought back to the laboratory using ice boxes. Pore water was collected from the forest floor by digging in the soil to create small holes and waiting for the water to fill the holes. Pore water can also be observed at the bottom of ditches. Once the holes had filled with water, three replicates of pore water were collected from each station in pre-washed bottles and were brought back to the laboratory within ice boxes. The ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate in the water were detected using the methods of Weatherburn (1967), Kitamura et al. (1982), and Parsons et al. (1984), respectively. Three replicate mangrove soil samples were collected from each station and brought back to the laboratory for analysis using ice boxes. In the laboratory, the soil samples were placed in an oven at 70°C until dry and were passed through a 250 µm sieve to detect the total phosphorous, nitrogen, sulphur, and carbon following Kim (2003) and Bray and Kurtz (1945) using a carbon, nitrogen, sulphur (CNS) analyser (LECO Truspec Micro CHNS elemental analyser, New York).

Statistical Analysis

Factorial analysis and one-way ANOVA were used to determine if there were any significant differences among the nutrients with respect to the sampling locations. The statistical significance was set at p<0.05, and the stability of the estimate was reflected by 95% confidence intervals. All tests and analyses were performed with SAS 9.2 for Windows by the SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA (2002–2008). The correlations among species diversity and the physico-chemical parameters were tested using multivariate analysis of ecological data (CANOCO for Windows 4.5) for redundancy analysis (RDA) following Jongman *et al.* (2003).

RESULTS

Macroalgal Species Composition and Biomass

The macroalgae in the Sibuti mangrove estuary included members of three groups, Rhodophyta, Chlorophyta, and Phaeophyta. Eight species of macroalgae from the three divisions of Rhodophyta (*Caloglossa ogasawaraensis, Caloglossa adhaerens, Caloglossa stipitata, Bostrychia anomala,* and *Hypnea* sp.), Chlorophyta (*Chaetomorpha minima* and *Chaetomorpha* sp.), and Phaeophyta (*Dictyota* sp.) were recorded growing on prop roots at Station 1. Rhodophyta (*Hypnea* sp.) and Chlorophyta (*C. minima*) were the most common at Station 2, while Rhodophyta (*B. anomala* and *Hypnea* sp.) was common at Station 3 in this estuarine mangrove habitat.

Hypnea sp. was found year-round at all studied stations (Table 1). However, the distributions and presence of *Caloglossa* spp., *Bostrychia* spp. and other macroalgae formed an irregular pattern in this study area. The biomass of *Hypnea* sp. was found to be higher at both Station 1 (210.56 mg/cm²) and Station 2 (141.72 mg/cm²). At Station 3, the highest biomass (185.89 mg/cm²) was derived from *B. anomala* (Table 2). The macroalgal biomass did not significantly differ (*p*>0.05) during the study period (Fig. 2).

Ecological Parameters

The physico-chemical parameters of soil and water showed significant differences (p<0.05) among the months and stations during the study period (Figs. 3 and 4). The range of the total soil carbon concentrations was found to be 0.45%–18.89% in this mangrove ecosystem, and the highest value was recorded at Station 3 and the lowest at Station 1. The total carbon content in the soil did not vary during the sampling period and was related to the total nitrogen in the soil.

The pore water had higher concentrations of ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate than the river water (Table 3). The monthly ammonium and nitrate concentrations were slightly higher at Station 1 than at Stations 2 and 3, while the phosphate concentration was marginally higher at Station 3 (Fig. 4). The water pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), and salinity were found to be higher at Station 1 and lower at Station 3 (Fig. 5). The rainfall was recorded as higher in December, February–May and September, with a mean value of 10.90–15.50 mm.

| Species | | Q | | | Ы | | 1 | ٩٢ | | 벁 | | | MR | | A | AP | | MA | | 1 | Ę | | Ę | _ | | AU | | | ЯS | | 0 | 8 |
|--|----|----|----|---|---|----|---|----|-----|---|----|---|----|--------|---|----|----|----|---|----|-----|-----|---|---|----|----|---------------|---|----|-----|---|-----|
| Stations | - | 2 | e | - | 2 | e | - | 2 | 3 1 | 2 | e | - | 2 | e e | - | 3 | - | 2 | ю | - | N | 3 | 2 | e | - | 2 | e | - | 2 | e | - | 2 3 |
| Rhodophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. ogasawaraensis (Okamura) | T | T | T | 7 | T | Ĭ. | 7 | i | 2 | 1 | I. | 7 | I | I | ~ | | 7 | 1 | L | 7 | i | | _ | 1 | 7 | I. | Т | L | I. | ì | | |
| C. adhaerens (King et Puttock) | I | I. | I. | ī | ī | L. | 7 | ī | 1 | 1 | I | 7 | I | ī | ~ | 1 | 2 | ī | L | 7 | ì | | - | 1 | 7 | Т | I. | 2 | L | ì | > | 1 |
| C. stipitata (E.Post) | 7 | Т | I. | 7 | I | Т | 7 | ī | 1 | 1 | I. | 7 | I. | ī | 7 | 1 | 7 | Т | 1 | 7 | i | | - | 1 | I. | Т | 1 | Т | т | - î | - | |
| B. anomala (J.A West, S.Loiseaux | 7 | 1 | I. | 1 | I | 1 | 7 | i | 1 | 1 | 7 | T | 1 | ~ | 1 | ~ | I. | 1 | 7 | I. | 1 | > | | 7 | I. | 1 | $\overline{}$ | , | 1 | í. | | |
| de Goer, G.C Zuccarrelo) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hypnea</i> sp. (Esper) J.V Lamouroux | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | ~ | ~ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | ~ | 7 | 7 | 7 | ~ | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 7 | \sim | 7 | 7 | ~ | 5 | _ |
| Chlorophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. minima (C.Agardh) | 7 | 7 | T | T | I | T | 7 | ī | - | 1 | г | I | ī | i | Ť | - | I | T | 1 | T | ï | 1 | | 1 | L | I. | T | г | T | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ |
| <i>Chaetomorpha</i> sp. (C.Agardh) | I. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | T | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | T | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | i | | | 1 | I. | 1 | Т | 1 | Т | i. | | |
| Phaeophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dictyota sp. (Hudson) | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | í | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | - 1 | - 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ĵ | ÷ | 1 |

| Species | N | leans biomass (mg/cm ² | 2) |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Species | Station 1 | Station 2 | Station 3 |
| C. ogasawaraensis | 4.97±5.74 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00±0.00 |
| C. adhaerens | 2.52±4.19 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00±0.00 |
| C. stipitata | 12.14±17.89 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00±0.00 |
| B. anomala | 1.03±2.86 | 0.00±0.00 | 185.89±248.29 |
| C. minima | 4.11±7.78 | 2.57±8.89 | 0.00±0.00 |
| Chaetomorpha sp. | 5.09±12.14 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00±0.00 |
| <i>Hypnea</i> sp. | 210.56±114.11 | 141.72±92.57 | 54.29±87.18 |
| Dictyota sp. | 6.18±21.39 | 0.00±0.00 | 0.00±0.00 |
| Total | 246.63 | 144.29 | 240.18 |

Table 2: Yearly means biomass $(mg/cm^2 \pm SD)$ of mangrove macroalgae on the proproots of three stations in Sibuti estuary Sarawak, Malaysia.



Figure 2: Monthly variation in total biomass (mg/cm²) of mangrove macroalgae at three different stations.

Macroalgal Biomass and Habitat Characteristics

The RDA for biomass and the environmental parameters showed that all canonical axes were significantly correlated (p<0.05; Monte Carlo simulations at 499 permutations) for the three stations in the Sibuti mangrove estuary.

The ordination diagram of the RDA showed strong correlations among the species (dependent variables) and the environmental parameters (independent variables) for all stations (Tables 4–6 and Figs. 6–8) of the study area.

Hasmidah Md Isa et al.



Figure 3: Monthly variation in physico-chemical parameters of the soil at different stations in Sibuti estuary: (a) total phosphorus; (b) carbon; (c) sulphur; (d) nitrogen. (*continued on next page*)

Biomass of Mangrove Macroalgae in Sibuti Estuary, Sarawak



Figure 4: Monthly variation in physico-chemical parameters of river and pore water at different stations in Sibuti estuary: (a) ammonia in river water; (b) ammonia in pore water; (c) nitrate in river water; (d) nitrate in pore water; (e) phosphate in river water; (f) phosphate in pore water. (*continued on next page*)

Hasmidah Md Isa et al.



10



Figure 5: Monthly variation in physico-chemical parameters of river water at different stations in Sibuti estuary: (a) pH; (b) TDS; (c) salinity; (d) water temperature; (e) turbidity; (f) conductivity; (g) dissolved oxygen. (*continued on next page*)

Hasmidah Md Isa et al.



Figure 5: (continued).

Biomass of Mangrove Macroalgae in Sibuti Estuary, Sarawak



Figure 5: (continued).

Table 3: Yearly mean value of ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate contents (mg/L \pm SD) inthe pore water and river water at three stations in Sibuti estuary, Sarawak Malaysia.

| Nutrient | | Pore water | | | River water | |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| nument | St 1 | St 2 | St 3 | St 1 | St 2 | St 3 |
| Ammonium | 1.15±0.02 | 1.17±0.02 | 1.17±0.02 | 1.16±0.04 | 1.08±0.02 | 1.09±0.02 |
| Nitrate | 1.69±0.02 | 1.23±0.03 | 1.62±0.02 | 1.48±0.02 | 0.98±0.02 | 1.24±0.02 |
| Phosphate | 0.04±0.00 | 0.02±0.00 | 0.03±0.00 | 0.03±0.00 | 0.01±0.00 | 0.02±0.00 |

Note: St = station.

Table 4: Results of RDA for Station 1. Eigen values, species-environment correlation, and percentage variance of species data and species-environment relation at Station 1 in Sibuti estuary.

| Axes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Eigenvalues | 0.930 | 0.036 | 0.022 | 0.008 | 1.000 |
| Species-environment correlations Cumulative percentage variance | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | |
| of species data | 93.000 | 96.700 | 98.800 | 99.600 | |
| of species-environment relation Sum of all canonical eigenvalues | 93.000 | 96.700 | 98.800 | 99.600 | 1.000 |

Table 5: Results of RDA for Station 2. Eigen values, species-environment correlation, and percentage variance of species data and species-environment relation at Station 2 in Sibuti estuary.

| Axes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Eigenvalues | 0.994 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Species-environment correlations | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Cumulative percentage variance | | | | | |
| of species data | 99.400 | 100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| of species-environment relation | 99.400 | 100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Sum of all canonical eigenvalues | | | | | 1.000 |

Table 6: Results of RDA for Station 3. Eigen values, species-environment correlation, and percentage variance of species data and species-environment relation at Station 3 in Sibuti estuary.

| Axes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Eigenvalues | 0.910 | 0.090 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Species-environment correlations | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Cumulative percentage variance | | | | | |
| of species data | 91.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| of species-environment relation | 91.000 | 100.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Sum of all canonical eigenvalues | | | | | 1.000 |

For Station 1, the first axis showed that *Hypnea* sp. was correlated with the total phosphorous, nitrogen, and carbon content in the soil, while the second axis showed that *Dictyota* sp., *B. anomala*, *C. stipitata*, and *C. minima* were correlated with soil sulphur, the pore water phosphate, and nitrate (river and pore water). The biomass of *C. ogasawaraensis* was correlated with the water temperature, air temperature, rainfall, and river phosphate and was heavily affected by the pH, TDS, and salinity. *Chaetomorpha* sp. and *C. adhaerens* were

correlated with the turbidity and dissolved oxygen and were heavily affected by the ammonium from the pore and river water.

For Station 2, the first axis showed that no macroalgal species was correlated with the environmental parameters, while the second axis showed that *C. minima* and *Hypnea* sp. were surprisingly affected by the soil sulphur.

For Station 3, the first axis showed that *Hypnea* sp. was correlated with sulphur, the phosphate from river water, soil carbon, soil nitrogen, pH, the total soil phosphorous, and the nitrate from the river and pore water. The concentrations of sulphur govern the existence of *Hypnea* sp., while the second axis showed that the presence of *B. anomala* was influenced by the ammonium in the river water.

DISCUSSION

The species of macroalgae recorded in this study are normally abundant in mangrove habitats and are rarely found in other habitats (Melville *et al.* 2005). Generally, *Bostrychia* and *Caloglossa* include common species that are found in mangrove ecosystems, which has been reported in Australian (Davey & Woelkerling 1985; King 1995; Melville & Pulkownik 2007), Asian (Aikanathan & Sasekumar 1994; Lin *et al.* 1997), and African mangrove habitats (Coppejans & Gallin 1989; King 1990; Phillips *et al.* 1994). The *Bostrychia–Caloglossa* association has been globally considered to be representative of the macroalgal assemblage composition of mangrove ecosystems on tropical coasts (Tanaka & Chihara 1987). *B. anomala* was the first reported species of mangrove macroalgae in Malaysian waters (John *et al.* 2013).

The presence and absence of macroalgae showed non-significant (p>0.05) monthly fluctuations during the one-year study period. This has also been observed in other marine and coastal habitats worldwide (Laursen & King 2000; Melville et al. 2005; Melville & Pulkownik 2007). In Brazil, the majority of epiphytic algae experience their maximum growth during the spring and autumn (Bouzon & Ouriques 1999) as well as during the dry season (Fernandes & Alves 2011). Researchers have also found higher amounts of mangrove macroalgae during the summer season (Steinke & Naidoo 1990), which was found to be influenced by nutrient availability, temperature, and light intensity. In contrast, studies by Perez-Estrada et al. (2012), Nedumaran and Perumal (2009), Liu et al. (2001), El-Sharouny et al. (2001), and Lin et al. (1997) found that mangrove macroalgae grow throughout the year, and seasonal influences on their growth were not noticed. It has been observed that the three stations in the Sibuti mangroves have their own biotic and abiotic factors that influence the species abundance of macroalgae (Broderick & Dawes 1998). In addition, the positions of mangrove trees and canopy gaps as well as light penetration are also likely related to the abundance and distribution of the macroalgal assemblage.



Figure 6: RDA showing tri-plots for macroalgae species vs. environmental variables at Station 1 in Sibuti estuary.



Figure 7: RDA showing tri-plots for macroalgae species vs. environmental variables at Station 2 in Sibuti estuary.

Biomass of Mangrove Macroalgae in Sibuti Estuary, Sarawak



Figure 8: RDA showing tri-plots for macroalgae species vs. environmental variables at Station 3 in Sibuti estuary.

The mangrove trees were sparse at Station 1 but were dense at Stations 2 and 3 (Kaleem *et al.* 2015). This pattern could be of paramount importance by affecting the shading of the forest floor by preventing light penetration and eventually promoting the homogenous distributions of red algae (Karsten *et al.* 1994). Studies by Yubin *et al.* (2014) revealed that macroalgal biomass and species diversity are higher under high-density canopy than low-density forest canopy. The air and water temperature were significantly different among the months and stations. Water temperature, especially at the surface of a water body, is the physical factor influencing macroalgal growth, abundance, and geographical distribution in different regions (Nedumaran & Perumal 2009). According to Karsten *et al.* (1994), warmer air and water temperatures and suitable light intensity support macroalgal growth and result in higher species diversity and biomass.

The macroalgal frequency and biomass varied among the study stations in the Sibuti mangrove estuary. The biomass and number of macroalgae at Station 1 was found to be higher, most likely due to the suitable salinity range (~11.52 psu). Furthermore, this station is situated at the river mouth and has a direct connection with incoming seawater through the tides. This is in agreement with some other published reports worldwide (Oliveira 1984; Bouzon & Ouriques 1999; Nedumaran & Perumal 2009). The species *Bostrychia* sp. and *Caloglossa* sp. were not detected at Stations 1 and 2 in the present study, most likely due to the lower salinity (6.81 to 8.23 psu) at these stations. Being a hardy species, *Hypnea* sp. was found at all stations throughout the year in the Sibuti mangroves,

with a mean biomass ranging from 141.72 to 210.56 mg/cm². It is well-known that macroalgal species are normally long-lived plants, and they can store huge amounts of nutrients during the nutrient limitation and environmental restriction phase in mangrove habitats (Hein *et al.* 1995; Pedersen & Borum 1996, 1997). Hence, it is not surprising that the abundance of *Hypnea* sp. was not found to be influenced by the monthly variation in the environmental parameters in the present study. Indeed, excess growth of this species during the rainy season or mortality during summer was not noticed.

CONCLUSION

Eight species from three groups of macroalgae, namely Rhodophyta and Phaeophyta, were found in this mangrove ecosystem. The first distributional record of mangrove macroalgae in Malaysian waters was for the species *B. anomala*. The macroalgal distribution was influenced by some abiotic and biotic factors, such as water nutrients, dissolved solids, and salinity, in the mangrove habitat of Sibuti.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by E-Science, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, Malaysia, Project No. 04-01-04-SF1422. Thanks to the laboratory staff of the Department of Animal Science and Fishery, Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, for their help and cooperation. Special thanks to Dr. Zuccarello, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, for the identification of some macroalgae and Sarawak Biodiversity Centre (SBC) and Sarawak Forestry Department for their permission to conduct this research at the Sibuti mangrove forest, Sarawak.

REFERENCES

- Aikanathan S and Sasekumar A. (1994). The community structure of macroalgae in a low shore mangrove forest in Selangor, Malaysia. *Hydrobiologia* 285(1–3): 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00005661
- Bouzon Z L and Ouriques L C. (1999). Occurrence and distribution of Bostrychia and Caloglossa (Rhodophyta, Ceramiales) in the Ratones River mangrove, Florianopolis-Sc-Brazil. *INSULA* 28: 43–52.
- Bray R H and Kurtz L T. (1945). Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorous in soils. *Soil Science* 59(1): 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-194501000-00006
- Broderick M E and Dawes C J. (1998). Periodal photosynthetic and respiratory responses of the red alga *Bostrychia tenella* (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta) from a salt marsh and mangal. *Phycologia* 37(2): 92–99. https:/doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-37-2-92.1
- Coppejans E and Gallin E. (1989). Macroalgae associated with the mangrove vegetation of Gazi Bay (Kenya). *Belgium Journal of Botany* 122(1): 47–60.

- Davey A and Woelkerling W J. (1985). Studies on Australia Mangrove algae: I. Victoria communities: Composition and geographic distribution. *Royal Society of Victoria* 91: 53–66.
- El-Sharouny H M, El-Tayeb M A and Ismail M S. (2001). Macroalgae associated with mangrove at Hurghada and Safaga of the Egyptian Red Sea Coast. *Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Marine Science* 12(1): 241–251. https://doi.org/ 10.4197/mar.12-1.18
- Fernandes M E B and Alves E F S. (2011). Occurence and distribution of macroalgae (Rhodophyta) associated with mangroves on the Ajuruteua Peninsula, Braganca, Para, Brazil. UAKARI 7(2): 35–42.
- Hein M, Pedersen M F and Sand-Jensen K. (1995). Size-dependent nitrogen uptake in micro and macroalgae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 118(1): 247–253. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps118247
- Hoque M M, Abu Hena M K, Idris M H, Ahmed O H, Saifullah A S M and Billah M M. (2015a). Status of some fishery resources in a tropical mangrove estuary of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Marine Biology Research* 11(8): 834–846. https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2015.1016970
- Hoque M M, Abu Hena M K, Ahmed O H, Idris M H, Rafiqul Haque A T M and Billah M M. (2015b). Litterfall production in a tropical mangrove of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Zoology and Ecology* 25(2): 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/21658005.2015. 1016758
- John A W, Loiseaux de goer S and Zuccarello G C. (2013). Monosiphonous growth and cell death in an unusual Bostrychia (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta): *B. anomala* sp. nov. *Algae* 28(2): 161–171. https://doi.org/10.4490/algae.2013.28.2.161
- Jongman R H G, Ter Braak C J F and Van Tongeren O F R. (2003). *Data analysis in community and landscape ecology.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 91–173.
- Kaleem S, Abu Hena M K, Zamri R, Khalid R H and Hoque M M. (2015). Composition and diversity of plants in Sibuti Mangrove forest, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Forest Science* and Technology 12(2): 70–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/21580103.2015.1057619
- Karsten U, West J A, Zuccarello G C and Kirst G O. (1994). Physiological ecotypes in the marine alga *Bostrychia radicans* (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta) from the east coast of the USA. *Journal of Phycology* 30(2): 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1994.00174.x
- Kim H T. (2003). Soil sampling and preparations and analysis. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- King R J. (1995). Mangrove macroalgae: A review of Australian studies. Proceedings of Linnean Society of New South Wales 115: 151–161.
- ____. (1990). Macroalgae associated with the mangrove vegetation of Papua Guinea. Botanica Marina 33(1): 55–62. https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1990.33.1.55
- King R J and C F Puttock. (1994). Morphology and taxonomy of Caloglossa (Delesseriaceae, Rhodophyta). Australia System Botany 7(2): 89–124. https://doi.org/10.1071/SB9940089
- Kitamura H, Ishitani H, Kuge Y and Nakamoto N. (1982). Determination of nitrate in freshwater and seawater by a hydrazine reduction method. *Japan Journal. Water Pollution Resistant*. 5(1): 35–42. https://doi.org/10.2965/jswe1978.5.35
- Laursen W J and King R J. (2000). The distribution and abundance of mangrove macroalgae in Woolooware Bay, New South Wales, Australia. *Botanica Marina* 43(4): 377–384. https://doi.org/10.1515/BOT.2000.038
- Lin P, Zhenfen C and Weigang L. (1997). Ecological researches on macroalgae in Fujian mangrove areas. *Acta Botanica Sinica* 39(2): 176–180.

- Liu W, Yiming L and Zhenfen C. (2001). Distribution and seasonal change of algae in Fujian mangrove areas. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica* 23(3): 78–86.
- McCluskey D S and Elliot M. (2004). The estuarine ecosystem: Ecology, threats and management. New York: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/ acprof:oso/9780198525080.001.0001
- Melville F and Pulkownik A. (2007). Seasonal and spatial variation in the distribution of mangrove macroalgal in the Clyde River, Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 71(3–4): 683–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.09.023
- Melville F, Pulkownik A and Burchett M. (2005). Zonal and seasonal variation in the distribution and abundance of mangrove macroalgae in the Parramatta River, Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 64(2–3): 267–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.02.020
- Nedumaran T and Perumal P. (2009). Temporal and spatial variations in the structure of macroalgal communities associated with mangroves of Pichavaram (South India). *Botany Research International* 2(3): 198–205.
- Oliveira F E C. (1984). Brazilian mangal vegetation with special emphasis on the seaweeds. In F D Por and I Dor (eds.). *Hydrology of mangal the ecosystem of the mangrove forests.* The Hague: Dr W. Junk Publishers, 55–56.
- Parsons T R, Maita Y and Lalli C M. (1984). A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Pedersen M F and Borum J. (1997). Nutrient control of estuarine macroalgae: Growth strategy and the balance between nitrogen requirements and uptake. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 161: 155–163. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps161155
- . (1996). Nutrient control of algal growth in estuarine waters. Nutrients limitation and the importance of nitrogen requirements and nitrogen storage among phytoplankton and species of macroalgae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 142: 261–272. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps142261
- Phillips A, Lambert G and Granger J E. (1994). Horizontal zonation of epiphytic algae associated with Avicennia marina (Forssk.) Vierh. pneumatophores at Beachwood Mangroves Nature Reserve, Durban, South Africa. Botanica Marina 37(6): 567–576. https:/doi.org/10.1515/botm.1994.37.6.567
- Perez-Estrada C J, Leon-Tejera H and Serviere-Zaragoza E. (2012). Cyanobacteria and macroalgae from an arid environment mangrove on the east coast of the Baja California Peninsula. *Botanica Marina* 55(2): 187–196. https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2012-0501
- Qian S, Liu D and Sun J. (2005). *Marine phycology*. Qiangdao, China: Qiangdao China Ocean University Press, 42–208.
- Rodriguez C and Stoner A W. (1990). The epiphytic community of mangrove roots in a tropical estuary: Distribution and biomass. *Aquatic Botany* 36(2): 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(90)90076-W
- Saifullah A S M, Abu Hena M K, Idris M H, Halima A R and Johan I. (2014). Seasonal variation of water characteristics in Kuala Sibuti river estuary in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Science* 33(1): 9–22.
- Saifullah S M and Ahmed W. (2007). Epiphytic algal biomass on pneumatophores of mangrove of Karachi Indus Delta. *Pakistan Journal Botany* 39(6): 2097–2102.
- Saifullah S M and Taj G. (1995). Marine algae epiphytes on pneumatophores of mangroves of Karachi. Proceeding Pak-US Conference on The Arabian Sea Living Marine Resources and the Environment. Karachi, Pakistan, 20–24 June 1993. Washington D.C.: American Institute of Biological Sciences, 407–417.
- Steinke T D and Naidoo Y. (1990). Biomass of algae epiphytic on pneumatophores of the mangrove Avicennia marina, in the St Lucia estuary. South Africa Journal of Botany 56(2): 226–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6299(16)31090-0
 - 20

- Tanaka J and Chihara M. (1987). Species composition and vertical distribution of macroalgae in brackish water of Japanese mangrove forests. *Bulletin of National Science Museum* 13(4): 141–150.
- Tanaka U and Shameel. (1992). Macroalgae in mangrove forest of Pakistan. *Cryptogamic Flora of Pakistan* 1: 75–85.
- Weatherburn M W. (1967). Phenol-hypochlorite reaction for determination of ammonia. Analytical Chemical 39(8): 971–974. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60252a045
- Watson J G. (1928). Mangrove forests of the Malay Peninsula. *Malayan Forest Records* 6(5): 129–275.
- Yubin Z, Yuan L, Fei S, Xingli S and Guanghui L. (2014). Seasonal and spatial variation in species diversity, abundance, and element accumulation capacities of macroalgae in mangrove forests of Zhanjiang, China. Acta Oceanologica Sinica 33(8): 73–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-014-0414-9
- Zeng C. (1983). Common seaweeds of China. Bejing: Beijing Science Press, 249-301.
- Zhao S. (2012). Marine *algae and algal culture science*. Beijing: China National Defense Industry Press, 21–94.
- Zuccarello G C, Yeates P H, Wright J T and Bartlett J. (2001). Population structure and physiological differentiation of haplotypes of *Caloglossa leprieurii* (Rhodophyta) in a mangrove intertidal zone. *Journal of Phycology* 37(2): 235–244. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.037002235.x